

Okeechobee, Florida 34974, USA, and DENNIS N. DAVID, Florida Game and Fresh Water Fish Commission, 4005 South Main Street, Gainesville, Florida 32601, USA.

TESTUDINES

CHRYSEMYS PICTA PICTA (Eastern Painted Turtle). **PREDATION.** Previous accounts of predation by invertebrates on reptiles and amphibians focused primarily on lizards and frogs (Bauer 1990. *Herpetol. Rev.* 21:83-87; Hinshaw and Sullivan 1990. *J. Herpetol.* 24:196-197; McCormick and Polis 1982. *Biol. Rev.* 57:29-58), but crabs regularly prey on sea turtle hatchlings (Stancyk 1982, *In K. Bjorndal* (ed.) *Biology and Conservation of Sea Turtles*, pp. 139-152). Here I report predation by invertebrates on juvenile freshwater turtles. Two hatchling and one small juvenile *Chrysemys picta* (CL 20-30 mm) were observed being attacked by large (40-50 mm) giant water bugs (Hemiptera: Belostomatidae) on three different occasions during early summer 1990. These attacks occurred along the vegetated margins of a shallow pond (3 ha) at the Patuxent Wildlife Research Center, Prince Georges Co., Maryland. In all three cases, the water bugs firmly grasped the turtles' carapace from the top with their legs and inserted their proboscis at the base of the turtles' necks beneath the anterior rim of the carapace. Only one of the turtles appeared alive when discovered, and it was not actively resisting or attempting to escape. About 30 min later, the water bug was on the now dead turtle and still had its proboscis inserted. Although hatchling turtles are vulnerable to many predators, most studies have focused on vertebrates. My observations suggest that large aquatic invertebrates also may be an important factor in juvenile turtle mortality.

Submitted by STEVE W. GOTTE, U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, National Museum of Natural History, Washington, D.C. 20560, USA.

SERPENTES

COLUBER CONSTRICTOR (Black Racer). **PREDATION.** Annual mortality of adult *Coluber constrictor* is known to vary from 21-46% among three populations (Brown and Parker 1984. *In R. A. Seigel, L. A. Hunt, J. L. Knight, L. Malaret, and N. L. Zuschlag* (eds.), *Vertebrate Ecology and Systematics, a Tribute to Henry S. Fitch*. Univ. Kansas Mus. Nat. Hist. Special Publ. No. 10, pp. 13-40; Fitch 1963. *Univ. Kansas Publ. Mus. Nat. Hist.* 15:351-468; Rosen 1991. *Copeia* 1991:897-909), but the specific sources of adult mortality are poorly known. During the period 20 July - 18 October 1989, we radiotracked ten adult *C. constrictor* on the Savannah River Site near Aiken, South Carolina. Two snakes (20%) suffered predation in this period. One snake (male, 83 cm SVL, 140 g) was released on 28 July and monitored daily as it moved around and through a Carolina bay. On 3 August, it was eaten by a 112 cm, 420 g female *Lampropeltis getulus*. A second *C. constrictor* (female, 90 cm, 164 g), was released on 28 July. Over the next nine days it moved >1.2 km from the original point of capture. On 6 August, the snake was tracked to a mixed pine/hardwood forest where it was found in a pre-shedding opaque condition coiled in an abandoned bird nest 6 m up in a pine tree. When the nest was checked the following morning, the snake's head and anterior part of its body were missing.

Mortality in our approximate 90-day study was similar to that in Fitch and Shirer's (1971. *Copeia* 1971:118-128) radiotelemetric

study of *C. constrictor* in which two of 12 (17%) snakes suffered predation over a period of <102 days. If the 90-day mortality study is adjusted up to an annual rate (Krebs 1989. *Methodology*. Harper & Row, New York, 654 pp.), the mortality obtained (60%) is greater than annual mortality observed in natural populations. The calculation of annual mortality based on mortality observed in a restricted part of the year assumes that mortality is constant over the entire year, an assumption that may not be true in *C. constrictor* (Brown and Parker 1984). However, the calculation strongly suggests that the 20% mortality observed over 90 days was, in fact, high.

Although these data are limited, they suggest that the mortality of adult *C. constrictor* may result from predation by these snakes being relatively large, fast-moving, alert, and occasionally aggressive (Fitch 1963. *op. cit.*).

Small snakes with implanted transmitters may suffer from predation in the short term perhaps as a result of the mass burden of the transmitter (Plummer 1990. *J. Herpetol.* 32:8). In this study, the ratio of transmitter mass/body mass was less than 5%.

We thank J. Haskins for assisting in radiotracking. This study was supported by contract DE-AC09-76SROO-819 between the U.S. Department of Energy and the University of Georgia. Savannah River Ecology Laboratory.

Submitted by MICHAEL V. PLUMMER and JAMES R. CONGDON, Savannah River Ecology Laboratory, P.O. Box 16, Aiken, South Carolina 29801, USA. Present address (M. V. Plummer): Department of Biology, Harding University, Searcy, Arkansas 72373, USA.

LAMPROPELTIS PYROMELANA (Sonoran Mountain Snake). **PREDATION.** As part of a continuing study of the diet of the spotted owl (*Strix occidentalis lucida*) in Arizona and Mexico, I identified the skeletal and epidermal remains of vertebrate and invertebrate prey items, primarily from regurgitated pellets. Mexican spotted owls feed on a variety of mammal, reptile, and arthropod prey, although mammals make up the majority of their diet (>90% biomass; Duncan and Sidner 1990. *Gen. Nat.* 50:197-200; Ganey 1988. M.S. thesis, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff; E. D. Forsman, pers. comm., 1990; R. B. Duncan, unpubl. data). Snakes are considered a rare prey item for spotted owls (Ross 1989. *Wisconsin Endangered Species Report* 59). Ross (*op. cit.*) compiled published accounts of spotted owl pellets containing reptiles and amphibians, in which only one western terrestrial garter snake (*Thamnophis elegans*) was identified.

In this study of spotted owl prey, reptiles accounted for 1.5% of the total number of prey items (N = 2557) and 0.1% of the total biomass. Among the catalogued reptiles, only one snake and one lizard were identified (Duncan, unpubl. data). The mountain kingsnake was identified from a pellet sample regurgitated in July 1989 in the Huachuca Mountains, Cochise County, Arizona beneath a roost in a steep canyon (elev. 1737-2000 m). The montane riparian woodland bordered by montane conifer and Madran Evergreen Woodland (Brown 1982. *Des. Studies* 4:1-342). The specimen has been deposited at the USFS, Southwestern Regional Office, Albuquerque, New Mexico, as part of a comprehensive collection of Mexican spotted owl prey items.

This manuscript benefited from the editorial comments of Reichenbacher and J. D. Taiz, and was written while the author was supported by a professional services contract from

study of *C. constrictor* in which two of 12 (17%) snakes suffered predation over a period of <102 days. If the 90-day mortality in our study is adjusted up to an annual rate (Krebs 1989. Ecological Methodology. Harper & Row, New York, 654 pp.), the value obtained (60%) is greater than annual mortality observed in three natural populations. The calculation of annual mortality from mortality observed in a restricted part of the year assumes that mortality is constant over the entire year, an assumption which may not be true in *C. constrictor* (Brown and Parker 1984. op. cit.). However, the calculation strongly suggests that the 20% mortality observed over 90 days was, in fact, high.

Although these data are limited, they suggest that significant mortality of adult *C. constrictor* may result from predation, despite these snakes being relatively large, fast-moving, alert, and occasionally aggressive (Fitch 1963. op. cit.).

Small snakes with implanted transmitters may suffer high rates of predation in the short term perhaps as a result of the increased mass burden of the transmitter (Plummer 1990. J. Herpetol. 24:327-328). In this study, the ratio of transmitter mass/body mass was less than 5%.

We thank J. Haskins for assisting in radiotracking. This work was supported by contract DE-AC09-76SROO-819 between the U.S. Department of Energy and the University of Georgia's Savannah River Ecology Laboratory.

Submitted by **MICHAEL V. PLUMMER** and **JUSTIN D. CONGDON**, Savannah River Ecology Laboratory, Drawer E, Aiken, South Carolina 29801, USA. Present address (MVP): Department of Biology, Harding University, Searcy, Arkansas 72143, USA.

LAMPROPELTIS PYROMELANA (Sonoran Mountain King-snake). **PREDATION.** As part of a continuing study of Mexican spotted owl (*Strix occidentalis lucida*) diet in Arizona and New Mexico, I identified the skeletal and epidermal remains of vertebrate and invertebrate prey items, primarily from regurgitated pellets. Mexican spotted owls feed on a variety of mammals, birds, reptiles, and arthropods, although mammals make up the bulk of their diet (>90% biomass; Duncan and Sidner 1990. Great Basin Nat. 50:197-200; Ganey 1988. M.S. thesis, Northern Arizona Univ., Flagstaff; E. D. Forsman, pers. comm., 1990; R. B. Duncan, this study and unpubl. data). Snakes are considered a rare prey item of spotted owls (Ross 1989. Wisconsin Endangered Species Res. Rep. 59). Ross (op. cit.) compiled published accounts of spotted owl diet containing reptiles and amphibians, in which only one snake, the western terrestrial garter snake (*Thamnophis elegans*), was documented.

In this study of spotted owl prey, reptiles accounted for <0.5% of the total number of prey items (N = 2557) and <0.2% total biomass. Among the catalogued reptiles, only one snake and 12 lizards were identified (Duncan, unpubl. data). The Sonoran mountain kingsnake was identified from a pellet sample that was regurgitated in July 1989 in the Huachuca Mountains, Cochise Co., Arizona beneath a roost in a steep canyon (elev. 1737-2134 m) in montane riparian woodland bordered by montane conifer forest and Madrean Evergreen Woodland (Brown 1982. Desert Plants 4:1-342). The specimen has been deposited at the USFS, Southwest Regional Office, Albuquerque, New Mexico, as part of a comparative collection of Mexican spotted owl prey items.

This manuscript benefited from the editorial comments of F. W. Reichenbacher and J. D. Taiz, and was written while the author was supported by a professional services contract from the U. S.

Forest Service (USFS), Southwest Region.

Submitted by **RUSSELL B. DUNCAN**, Biologists, Tucson, Arizona, USA; Address: 607 N. 6th Ave., Tucson, Arizona 85705, U.S.A.

MASTICODRYAS PLEII (NCN). **ANTI-PREDATION.** During field work in the northwestern Veraguas Peninsula of Paraguaná, north-midwestern Venezuela, *Mastigodryas pleii* crawling slowly on the ground in a patch of vegetation. When disturbed, the snake escaped by crawling rapidly to a group of cacti (Cactaceae), where it stopped under one of the spines and slowly to climb the spines of the cactus. The snake's uppermost pad (ca. 1.3 m above ground) remained immobile but alert for 1-2 min before escaping.

In another instance, near Cabo San Román, Veraguas, the snake (Paraguaná), and similar behavior. The second snake was a lizard (*Ameiva bifrontata*) under an *O. wentiana*. The lizard escaped from the collector by climbing into the cactus and it also then stayed quiet but alert.

We infer that this behavior could reflect a response to medium- to large-sized terrestrial predators. The *O. wentiana* pads detach very easily. The pads, when removed, are very difficult to remove, and the lizard is very difficult to remove.

Submitted by **ABRAHAM MIJARES-URIBE** and **ABRAHAM ARENDIS R. CIEZA-UNEFM**, Apartado 7000, Cienfuegos, Cuba.

OPHRYACUS UNDULATUS (Horned Rattlesnake). **PREDATION/DISTURBANCE.** *Ophryacus undulatus* is distributed in the Sierra Madre del Sur of the north-west-central Veracruz, the Mixteca region, and Oaxaca (Lamar 1989. The Venomous Reptiles of Mexico. Comstock Publ. Assoc., Cornell Univ. Press, Ithaca, NY, pp. 1-100). (Hidalgo (O. Flores, pers. comm. 1990).

An adult male *O. undulatus*, SVL = 536 mm, was collected on 14 July 1990 at El Portrero, Tenancingo, Mexico. This snake had an intestinal obstruction revealed to be a skull of the Mexican vole (*Microtus merriami*). The wall of the large intestine, obstructed by the skull, Scales and bones of one *Sceloporus grammurus* were found that was ingested in captivity were also found.

A detailed external macroscopic examination of the area revealed strong swelling of the intestine. The wounds caused by the pieces of the skull, when healed over, they still contained the whole skull.

Information regarding this kind of perturbation is scarce from captive animals (e.g., *Boa constrictor* and other terrestrial chelonians (Frye 1981. Biomedical Research of Captive Reptile Husbandry. Veterinary Medicine, Small Animal Clinician, 76:1-10). Our observation suggests that misdigested prey items under these conditions may have potentially lethal consequences.

We thank Arturo González, Adolfo Nájera, and M. Smith, and Jack Sites, Jr. for their comments, and Mario Mancilla for help in the field, and José Pérez for identifying the mouse. Financial support was provided by the Dirección General de Apoyo a la Investigación (DGAPA) project IN201789.